## REMARKS

This Response is submitted in response to the Non-Final Office Action dated January 25, 2007. Claims 21, 29 and 30 have been amended. No new matter is added.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for conducting an Interview on April 9, 2007 and discussing the rejections. Applicants have amended the claims consistent with this discussion. For example, Claims 21 and 29 have been amended. Applicants believe that the rejections should be withdrawn as further detailed below.

## 35 USC §112 Rejections

The Office Action rejected Claims 21 and 29 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants discussed Claims 21 and 29 during the Examiner Interview. Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 21 and 29 have been amended to traverse such rejections.

Claims 21 and 29 now read, "short range wireless communication." The amendments are fully supported by the specification. For example, see the specification on page 13 lines 1-2 stating that in one embodiment, "the communication processing device performs wireless communication with Bluetooth communication." See also, the specification on page 25 lines 22-25 stating, "The communication unit 308 performs wireless communication using for example, Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11, infrared data communication, and so forth. Bluetooth is a short-distance wireless communication technique."

Additionally, Applicants submit that the Service Discovery Protocol referenced in Claims 21 and 29 refer generically to Service Discovery Protocol technology, as was discussed in the Examiner Interview.

For at least the foregoing reasons Applicants submit that Claims 21 and 29 are in condition for allowance.

The Office Action objected to Claim 30 because the word "Obtains" should not be capitalized. Applicants submit that Claim 30 is amended, and now reads "obtains." Applicants

Appl. No. 10/533,529 Reply to Office Action of January 25, 2007

respectfully submit that Claim 30 is in condition for allowance, and that the objection should be withdrawn.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge deposit account 02-1818 for any fees which are due and owing.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP

BY

Thomas C. Basso Reg. No. 46,541 Customer No. 29175

Dated: April 20, 2007